Poster #:	Judge #:
Poster Presenter:	
Title / Keywords:	
1. Title	(max: 5 points) SCORE:
Clear, succinct, reflects poster content (5 points)	•
2. Abstract	(max: 10 points) SCORE:
Well written, agrees with poster content (10 point	
3. Introduction and Hypotheses/Research Question	(max: 30 points) SCORE:
Logical hypothesis/statement of problem presented clearly (10 points)	
Provides adequate background and rationale (10 points)	
Goal of project stated clearly and concisely (10 points)	
4. Methods	(max: 30 points) SCORE:
Thorough explanation of why particular methods chosen (10 points)	
Clear discussion of controls or comparative groups included as appropriate (10 points)	
Statistics/Data analysis used and explained appropriately (10 points)	
5. Results	(max: 20 points) SCORE:
Clear, readable and appropriate results (eg. Figu	res and tables) (10 points)
Statistics/Data analysis used and reported clearly	y (10 points)
5. Discussion/Conclusions	(max: 25 points) SCORE:
Reasonable conclusions strongly supported with	
Conclusions compared to hypothesis and their relevance in a wider context (10 points)	
Future work based on research findings (5 points)	
C. Oursell Assessment of market	(many 20 maints) 200PF
6. Overall Appearance of poster	(max: 30 points) SCORE:
All expected components present, clearly laid out, easy to follow (10 points) Text concise, legible, free of misspelling or typos; Unobtrusive background (10 points)	
Photographs/tables/graphs improve understanding or visual appearance (10 points)	
Filolographs/tables/graphs improve understan	iding of visual appearance (10 points)
7. Skills of Presenter: Ability to describe the work:	(max: 50 points) SCORE <u>:</u>
Concise and speaks well and clearly (10 points)	
Understands and can explain rationale (10 points)	
Understands and can explain research design (10 points)	
Grasps conclusions and what they mean/generalize (10 points)	
Answered questions well (10 points)	
8. OVERALL EVALUATION (Max 200 points)	nts) GRAND TOTAL SCORE: .